

Embargoed until 21:00 GMT on Wednesday, December 9, 2009

WORLDPUBLICOPINION.ORG

World Publics on International Cooperation

December 9, 2009

Publics marked with an * excluded from the average for that question.

Q1-CE7. In general, when [Country] government negotiates with other countries do you think that the government:

	A. Should be more ready to act cooperatively to achieve mutual gains	B. Tends to be too willing to compromise and is often taken advantage of.	DK/NR
Chile	60	26	15
Mexico	35	63	2
US	54	44	2
France	43	48	9
Germany	47	46	8
Great Britain	31	65	4
Poland	34	53	14
Russia	54	34	12
Ukraine	49	35	16
Azerbaijan	67	29	4
Egypt	76	23	1
Iraq	60	24	16
Palest. ter.	69	29	2
Turkey	81	14	5
Kenya	71	28	1
Nigeria	73	26	1
China	63	30	7
Hong Kong*	72	18	10
Macau*	60	29	11
Taiwan*	42	49	9
India	59	34	7
Indonesia	52	40	8
Pakistan	42	54	5
S Korea	28	71	1
Average	55	39	7

Embargoed until 21:00 GMT on Wednesday, December 9, 2009

Q2-CE4. Please tell me if you think each is or is not generally cooperative with other countries.

Q2a-CE4a. China

	Is	Is not	Depends/DK/Ref (vol.)
Chile	33	41	26
Mexico	43	38	19
US	34	61	5
France	26	63	12
Germany	21	60	19
Great Britain	26	66	9
Poland	35	36	29
Russia	73	10	17
Ukraine	81	5	14
Azerbaijan	89	4	7
Egypt	56	41	3
Iraq	52	32	16
Turkey	31	43	27
Kenya	79	18	3
Nigeria	79	16	5
China*	95	2	3
Hong Kong*	85	8	7
Macau*	89	3	8
Taiwan*	51	28	21
India	50	39	11
Indonesia	79	10	11
Pakistan	94	4	2
S Korea	27	68	5
Average	53	35	13

Embargoed until 21:00 GMT on Wednesday, December 9, 2009

Q2-CE4. Please tell me if you think each is or is not generally cooperative with other countries.

Q2b-CE4b. The United States

	Is	Is not	Depends/DK/Ref (vol.)
Chile	49	31	20
Mexico	60	28	12
US*	87	12	1
France	58	31	11
Germany	54	27	19
Great Britain	62	30	9
Poland	62	19	19
Russia	47	27	26
Ukraine	82	5	13
Azerbaijan	89	6	5
Egypt	37	62	2
Iraq	27	58	16
Turkey	35	45	19
Kenya	84	14	2
Nigeria	77	19	4
China	51	29	20
Hong Kong*	61	24	15
Macau*	60	18	21
Taiwan*	74	11	15
India	61	30	9
Indonesia	73	13	14
Pakistan	44	54	2
S Korea	63	34	3
Average	59	30	12

*Publics marked with an * excluded from the average for that question.*

Embargoed until 21:00 GMT on Wednesday, December 9, 2009

WORLDPUBLICOPINION.ORG

RESEARCH PARTNERS

Country	Research Center	Contact
Azerbaijan	International Center for Social Research	Dr. Tair Faradov tfaradov@yahoo.com (+99 412) 492 27 34/672 22 49
China	Fudan Media and Public Opinion Research Center (FMORC), Fudan University	Dr. Baohua Zhou zhoubaohua@yeah.net
Hong Kong	Hong Kong University Public Opinion Programme	Dr. Robert Chung robert.chung@hku.hk +852 2859-2988
Macau	University of Macau	Dr. Angus, W.H. Cheong anguswhc@umac.mo +853 8397 4437
Taiwan	TVBS	Mr. Yeh-Diing Wang ydwang@tvbs.com.tw +886-2-23568961
Egypt	Attitude Market Research	Mr. Mohamed Al Gendy mgendy@attitude-eg.com +202 22711262
France	Efficienc 3	Ms. Sandrine Hourlier sandrine.h@efficienc3.com +33 3 26 79 07 97
Germany	Ri*Questa GmbH	Dr. Bernhard Rieder riquesta.rieder@t-online.de +49 (0)7641 934336
Great Britain	Chatham House (Royal Institute of International Affairs) / Facts International	Dr. Robin Niblett rniblett@chathamhouse.org.uk +44 (0)20 7957 5702
India	Centre for Voting Opinion & Trends in Election Research (CVoter)	Mr. Yashwant Deshmukh yashwant@teamcvoter.com 91 120 4247135
Indonesia	Synovate	Ms. Eva Yusuf Eva.Yusuf@synovate.com (+62-21) 2525 608
Kenya	Research Path Associates Limited	Mr. Jeremy Mwololo Jeremy.Mwololo@RPA.co.ke +254-20-2734770

Embargoed until 21:00 GMT on Wednesday, December 9, 2009

Mexico	Data OPM (2009)	Mr. Pablo Paras pp@dataopm.net (+55) 5575 1250
Nigeria	Market Trends Research International	Mr. Michael Umogun m.umogun@research-intng.com + 234-1 791 79 87
Pakistan	SEDCO	Ms. Uzma Athar
Palestinian territories	Palestinian Center for Public Opinion	Dr. Nabil Kukali kukali@p-ol.com (+972-2) 2774846
Poland	CBOS	Dr. Mirosława Grabowska m.grabowska@cbos.pl (+0-22) 693 47 25 / 693 46 93
Russia	Levada Center	Ms. Ludmila Khakhulina lkhahul@levada.ru +7(495) 229-38-10
South Korea	East Asia Institute	Dr. Han Wool Jeong hwjeong@eai.or.kr +82 02-2277-1683
Turkey	ARI Foundation / Infakto Research Workshop	Mr. Yurter Ozcan Yurter@arifoundation.org +1 (804) 868 0123 Dr. Emre Erdogan emre.erdogan@infakto.com.tr +90 212 231 07 08
Ukraine	Kiev International Institute of Sociology	Dr. Vladimir Illich Paniotto paniotto@kmis.kiev.ua (+38) 044 537-3376 / (+38) 044 501-7403
United States	Program on International Policy Attitudes / Knowledge Networks	Dr. Stephen Weber sweber@pipa.org +1-202-232-7500 Dr. Michael Dennis mdennis@knowledgenetworks.com +1-650-289-2160

Embargoed until 21:00 GMT on Wednesday, December 9, 2009

METHODOLOGY

Country	Sample Size (unweighted)	MoE (%)	Field dates	Survey methodology	Type of sample
Azerbaijan	600	4	May 26 – Jun 5, 2009	Face-to-face	National
Chile	1000	3.1	June 25-July 9, 2009	Face-to-face	National
China	1006	3.1	May 14-21, 2009	Telephone	National ¹
<i>Hong Kong</i>	<i>1018</i>	<i>3.1</i>	<i>May 18 – Jun 3, 2009</i>	<i>Telephone</i>	<i>Representative of Hong Kong</i>
<i>Macau</i>	<i>1357 685</i>	<i>2.7 3.7</i>	<i>May 18-28, 2009</i>	<i>Telephone</i>	<i>Representative of Macau²</i>
<i>Taiwan</i>	<i>808</i>	<i>3.4</i>	<i>May 13-26, 2009</i>	<i>Telephone</i>	<i>Representative of Taiwan</i>
Egypt	600	4	Apr 25 – May 12, 2009	Face-to-face	Urban ³
France	600	4	May 25-29, 2009	Telephone	National
Germany	1008	3.1	Apr 29 – May 14, 2009	Telephone	National
Great Britain	600	4	May 13 – Jun 4, 2009	Telephone	National
India	1049	3.1	June 4-6, 2009	Face-to-face	National ⁴
Indonesia	712	3.7	May 12-21, 2009	Face-to-face	National ⁵
Iraq	1235	2.8	Apr 29 – May 15, 2009	Face-to-face	National
Kenya	1000	3.1	May 7-14, 2009	Face-to-face	National
Mexico	816	3.4	May 23 – Jun 12, 2009	Telephone	National ⁶
Nigeria	1000	3.1	May 31 – Jun 6, 2009	Face-to-face	National ⁷
Pakistan	1000	3.1	May 17-28, 2009	Face-to-face	National
Palestinian territories	500	4.4	May 20 – Jun 1, 2009	Face-to-face	National ⁸

Embargoed until 21:00 GMT on Wednesday, December 9, 2009

Poland	1038	3.1	May 27-Jun 3, 2009	Face-to-face	National
Russia	800	3.5	May 22-26, 2009	Face-to-Face	National
South Korea	600	4	May 6, 2009	Telephone	National
Turkey	720	3.7	May 13-23, 2009	Face-to-face	National
Ukraine	989 1007	3.1	April 4-12 and May 21-31, 2009	Face-to-face	National
United States	791	3.5	May 27 – Jun 4, 2009	Internet	National ⁹

¹ In China, the survey was a probability sample of urban and rural households with land-line telephones in the provinces of Anhui, Hebei, Heilongjiang, Hubei, Jiangsu, Shanxi, Shanghai, Sichuan, and Yunnan—representing approximately 60 percent of the mainland Chinese population. The sample was 40 percent rural, 60 percent urban (rural households make up approximately 55 percent of the population).

² In Macau, some questions received only a half-sample of those polled.

³ In Egypt, the survey was executed in the urban areas of Cairo, Alexandria, Giza, and Subra. These four urbanized areas represent 75 percent of Egypt's urban population, which is 42 percent of the national population.

⁴ In India, a face-to-face survey was conducted in urban and rural areas in 14 of the largest Indian states; these states comprise 77 percent of India's population. The sample is 60 percent urban, India's population is approximately 30 percent urban.

⁵ In Indonesia, a national probability sample was conducted in both urban and rural areas and covering approximately 87 percent of Indonesia's population.

In Iraq, the survey included an oversample of 150 Arab Sunnis across all of the sampled provinces in Iraq

⁶ In Mexico, a random telephone sample of adults who had landline telephones was conducted in all 31 states and the Federal District. Telephone penetration in Mexico is 55 percent.

⁷ In Nigeria, the sample was developed by selecting six states, one per geographic region, based upon their size and representativeness. Within each state, sampling points were selected by means of a multi-stage random sample which disproportionately sampled urban areas. The final sample is 75 percent urban; Nigeria is approximately 50 percent urban.

⁸ In the Palestinian territories, a face-to-face national probability survey was conducted among the population of the West Bank, including East Jerusalem, and the Gaza Strip.

⁹ In the United States, the poll was an online survey drawn from a nationally representative sample of the Knowledge Networks online panel. This panel is probabilistically-based, selected from the population of US telephone households and subsequently provided with an Internet connection if needed.