

EMBARGOED UNTIL 12:01 AM GMT MONDAY NOVEMBER 23, 2009

WORLDPUBLICOPINION.ORG

Defamation of Religion

November 23, 2009

Q1-R1. Currently there is a controversy about criticizing religions. Which position is closer to yours:

	A: People should have the right to publicly criticize a religion, because people should have freedom of speech	B: The government should have the right to fine or imprison people who publicly criticize a religion, because such criticism could defame the religion.	DK/NR
Chile	82	11	8
Mexico	81	12	7
US	89	9	2
France	66	26	8
Germany	76	16	8
Great Britain	81	13	6
Poland	68	22	9
Russia	61	13	26
Ukraine	53	17	30
Azerbaijan	67	24	9
Egypt	29	71	0
Iraq	26	57	17
Palest. ter.	46	51	3
Turkey	54	37	9
Kenya	54	46	1
Nigeria	44	54	2
India	33	59	8
Indonesia	31	49	20
Pakistan	34	62	5
S Korea	59	38	4
Hong Kong*	81	10	10
Taiwan*	68	18	14
Average	57	34	9

Publics marked with an * excluded from the average for that question.

EMBARGOED UNTIL 12:01 AM GMT MONDAY NOVEMBER 23, 2009

WORLDPUBLICOPINION.ORG

RESEARCH PARTNERS

Country	Research Center	Contact
Azerbaijan	International Center for Social Research	Dr. Tair Faradov tfaradov@yahoo.com (+99 412) 492 27 34/672 22 49
Chile	MORI Chile	Dr. Marta Lagos mori4@morichile.cl +562 334 4544
Hong Kong	<i>Hong Kong University Public Opinion Programme</i>	<i>Dr. Robert Chung</i> robert.chung@hku.hk +852 2859-2988
Taiwan	<i>TVBS</i>	<i>Mr. Yeh-Diing Wang</i> ydwang@tvbs.com.tw +886-2-23568961
Egypt	Attitude Market Research	Mr. Mohamed Al Gendy mgendy@attitude-eg.com +202 22711262
France	<i>Efficienc 3</i>	Ms. Sandrine Hourlier sandrine.h@efficienc3.com +33 3 26 79 07 97
Germany	<i>Ri*Questa GmbH</i>	Dr. Bernhard Rieder riquesta.rieder@t-online.de +49 (0)7641 934336
Great Britain	<i>Facts International</i>	Ms. Anna Mackenny anna@facts.uk.com +44 (0) 1233 648457
India	<i>Centre for Voting Opinion & Trends in Election Research (CVoter)</i>	Mr. Yashwant Deshmukh yashwant@teamcvoter.com 91 120 4247135
Indonesia	<i>Synovate</i>	Ms. Eva Yusuf Eva.Yusuf@synovate.com (+62-21) 2525 608
Iraq	<i>Asharq Research Center</i>	Dr. Haitham Numan haitham@asharqcenter.com +964 770 444 6660
Kenya	<i>Research Path Associates Limited</i>	Mr. Jeremy Mwololo Jeremy.Mwololo@RPA.co.ke +254-20-2734770
Mexico	<i>Data OPM</i>	Mr. Pablo Paras pp@dataopm.net (+55) 5575 1250

EMBARGOED UNTIL 12:01 AM GMT MONDAY NOVEMBER 23, 2009

Nigeria	Market Trends Research International	Mr. Michael Umogun m.umogun@research-intng.com + 234-1 791 79 87
Pakistan	SEDCO	Ms. Uzma Athar uzmathar@yahoo.com +92 321 517 9641
Palestinian territories	Palestinian Center for Public Opinion	Dr. Nabil Kukali kukali@p-ol.com (+972-2) 2774846
Poland	CBOS	Dr. Mirosława Grabowska m.grabowska@cbos.pl (+0-22) 693 47 25 / 693 46 93
Russia	Levada Center	Ms. Ludmila Khakhulina lkhahul@levada.ru (+7 095) 229-55-44
South Korea	East Asia Institute	Dr. Han Wool Jeong hwjeong@eai.or.kr +82 02-2277-1683
Turkey	ARI Foundation / Infakto Research Workshop	Mr. Yurter Ozcan Yurter@arifoundation.org +1 (804) 868 0123 Dr. Emre Erdogan emre.erdogan@infakto.com.tr +90 212 231 07 08
Ukraine	Kiev International Institute of Sociology	Dr. Vladimir Illich Paniotto paniotto@kmis.kiev.ua (+38) 044 537-3376 / (+38) 044 501-7403
United States	Program on International Policy Attitudes / Knowledge Networks	Dr. Stephen Weber sweber@pipa.org +1-202-232-7500 Dr. Michael Dennis mdennis@knowledgenetworks.com +1-650-289-2160

EMBARGOED UNTIL 12:01 AM GMT MONDAY NOVEMBER 23, 2009**METHODOLOGY**

Country	Sample Size (unweighted)	MoE (%)	Field dates	Survey methodology	Type of sample
Azerbaijan	600	4	May 26 – Jun 5, 2009	Face-to-face	National
Chile	1000	3.1	June 25 – July 9, 2009	Face-to-face	National
<i>Hong Kong</i>	<i>1003</i>	<i>3.1</i>	<i>May 18 – Jun 3, 2009</i>	<i>Telephone</i>	<i>Representative of Hong Kong</i>
<i>Taiwan</i>	<i>808</i>	<i>3.4</i>	<i>May 13-26, 2009</i>	<i>Telephone</i>	<i>Representative of Taiwan</i>
Egypt	600	4	Apr 25 – May 12, 2009	Face-to-face	Urban ¹
France	600	4	May 25-29, 2009	Telephone	National
Germany	1008	3.1	Apr 29 – May 14, 2009	Telephone	National
Great Britain	600	4	May 13 – Jun 4, 2009	Telephone	National
India	1049	3	June 4-6, 2009	Face-to-face	National ²
Indonesia	712	3.7	May 12-21, 2009	Face-to-face	National ³
Iraq	1235	2.8	Apr 29 – May 15, 2009	Face-to-face	National ⁴
Kenya	1000	3.1	May 7-14, 2009	Face-to-face	National
Mexico	816	3.4	May 23 – Jun 12, 2009	Telephone	National ⁵
Nigeria	1000	3.1	May 31 – Jun 6, 2009	Face-to-face	National ⁶
Pakistan	1000	3.1	May 17-28, 2009	Face-to-face	National
Palestinian territories	500	4.4	May 20 – Jun 1, 2009	Face-to-face	National ⁷
Poland	1038	3	May 27-Jun 3, 2009	Face-to-face	National
Russia	799	3.4	May 22-26, 2009	Face-to-Face	National

EMBARGOED UNTIL 12:01 AM GMT MONDAY NOVEMBER 23, 2009

South Korea	600	4	May 6, 2009	Telephone	National
Turkey	720	3.7	May 13-23, 2009	Face-to-face	National
Ukraine	1007	3.1	May 21-31, 2009	Face-to-face	National
United States	791	3.5	May 27 – Jun 4, 2009	Internet	National ⁸

¹In Egypt, the survey was executed in the urban areas of Cairo, Alexandria, Giza, and Subra. These four urbanized areas represent 75 percent of Egypt's urban population, which is 42 percent of the national population.

²In India, a face-to-face survey was conducted in urban and rural areas in 14 of the largest Indian states; these states comprise 77 percent of India's population. The sample is 60 percent urban, India's population is approximately 30 percent urban.

³In Indonesia, a national probability sample was conducted in both urban and rural areas and covering approximately 87 percent of Indonesia's population.

⁴In Iraq, the survey was a national sample conducted across all 18 Iraqi provinces.

⁵In Mexico, a random telephone sample of adults who had landline telephones was conducted in all 31 states and the Federal District. Telephone penetration in Mexico is 55 percent.

⁶In Nigeria, the sample was developed by selecting six states, one per geographic region, based upon their size and representativeness. Within each state, sampling points were selected by means of a multi-stage random sample which disproportionately sampled urban areas. The final sample is 75 percent urban; Nigeria is approximately 50 percent urban.

⁷In the Palestinian territories, a face-to-face national probability survey was conducted among the population of the West Bank, including East Jerusalem, and the Gaza Strip.

⁸In the United States, the poll was an online survey drawn from a nationally representative sample of the Knowledge Networks online panel. This panel is probabilistically-based, selected from the population of US telephone households and subsequently provided with an Internet connection if needed.